Appendix A

NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT Sandia PROGRAM

Document Review and Comment (DRC)

Form Number: NP 6-1-1

Date

| Sandia National Laboratories | RAM | | ocument Nevi | ew and Com | | | Page 1 of | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| REVIEW REQUESTE Complete items | | author/Sandia contact) | | | | | | | |
| l l | | eview document to the re- | viewer | | | | | | |
| REVIEWER: | io and i | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ied below, and complete items | 7 and 8. | | | | | |
| | | equester/delegate. | | | | | | | |
| REVIEW REQUES | | | re response to each comment | on following page(s): comp | loto itom 0, and roturn to re | wiowor | | | |
| REVIEWER: | IIII C IIIS I | equiling response, prepa | re response to each comment | on following page(s), comp | iete item 9, and retum to re | eviewei. | | | |
| | ses to co | mments. Indicate accep | tance or rejection on the DRC | and complete item 10. | | | | | |
| NOTE: REVIEWER | AND R | EVIEW REQUESTER/DE | LEGATE are encouraged to d | iscuss comments. If comm | ent(s) cannot be resolved, | refer the issue(s) to | management. | | |
| Entries must be con | nplete, le | egible, and in reproducible | e ink or completed electronical | ly. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 2. Rev. # | | | |
| 1.Document Title | | | | | | (if applicable) | | | |
| 3. Document Descri | iption: (e | .g. abstract, procedure, S | SAND report) | | | | | | |
| 4. Type of Review | ☐ Te | chnical (Technical adequacy | ☐ Management(Completeness and | | | | | | |
| & Criteria | | ojectives clearly stated and | | d completeness) uirements adequately cited/ | | | | | |
| | | Is the technical activity clearly described? incorporated and met (content, reviews)? - Has the technical review been performed by | | | | | -Is report consistent with policy?-Is there consensus with other program documents? | | |
| | | oes logic lead to reasonable conclusions? <u>- ras the technical review been performed by</u> <u>someone who is "independent"?</u> | | | | | | | |
| | | the results drawn from the data supported by data presented? (see NP 6-1, Section 2.2) a/tables/figures: Are they easily understood? Are legends complete? | | | | | -Does the document meet applicable criteria? | | |
| | -Data/ | ables/figures: Are they easi | y understood? Are legends compl | ete? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Ot | ner type of review (please sp | pecify or leave blank if not applicat | ole) | | <u></u> | | | |
| 5. Additional criteria (if applicable) 6. Review Requester | | | | | Dat | e: | | | |
| 7. Review Prepared | l by | | | | (Printed Name) | | | | |
| 7. Review Frepareo | Dy. | Reviewer's Pr | inted Name | Reviewer's | Signature | Org. | Date | | |
| 8. One of the following | | ☐ No comments ☐ Comments; record on following pages. | | | _ | | | | |
| boxes must be ched | cked: | | | | | | | | |
| (This section to be | e left bla | nk if there are no comn | nents requiring a response) | | | | | | |
| 9. Response to com | nments p | | | | | | | | |
| 40 D | | | uester's/Delegate's Printed Name | Review Reques | ter's/Delegate's Signature | Org. | Date | | |
| 10. Response Cond | currence | | | | | | | | |

Reviewer's Signature

| | Form Number: NP 6-1-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------|--|------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Page of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Revi | Type of Review | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Document T | Document Title Rev. # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Revie | wer's Comm | ents (Enter "LAST COMMENT" in row below last entry) | Review Requester's/Delegate's Respons | | | Reviewer's Response | | | | | | | | |
| Comment# | * | Location | Comment | Accept | Reject | | | Accept | Reject | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} Mark Y (Yes) for comments requiring a response from the Review Requester/Delegate.

Mark N (No) for comments not requiring a response from the Review Requester/Delegate.